Poultry and PIg Low-input and Organic production systems' Welfare



## How to avoid feather pecking in non-beak-trimmed hens?

Bas Rodenburg, Maëva Manet, Emy Ridderbos, Saskia Kliphuis



PPILOW seminar 22 February 2024



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 816172



## **PPILOW** Feather pecking in laying hens





NAUL

# Behaviour and welfare of laying hens can be affected by conditions during different life phases:

- 1. Maternal conditions during egg laying (de Haas et al, 2014)
- 2. Conditions during incubation and hatching
- 3. Early-life conditions (0-17 wk of age)
- 4. Adult-life conditions (17-80 wk of age)

Effects on: fearfulness, stress sensitivity, feather pecking, cannibalism



WIND A LANT TO A THE TO A LANT AND A LAND A

## **PPILOW** Conditions during incubation and hatching

Eggs are normally incubated in darkness

Hen also leaves the nest from time to time

Recent studies show positive effects of light during incubation in broilers (12L:12D

Mechanisms:

- Increased brain lateralisation
- Earlier onset hormonal rythms







Intraling the the the the stand of the stand

## **PPILOW** Conditions during incubation and hatching

Light colour also plays a role

Short exposure to white light: more gentle feather pecking in young chicks (Riedstra and Groothuis, 2001)



Research with 12L:12D with white, green and no light

- White: more FP than dark
- Green: less FP than dark (Ozkan et al., 2022)





## **PPILOW** Light incubated chicks more lateralised



Lateralisation Index in a detour test





Light chicks tend to pass obstacle on the left

No difference brown and white



dark light No effect on cognitive performance in holeboard test

(Manet et al., submitted)



I share the the the second and the share of the second and the sec

## **PPILOW** White pullets more fearful than brown pullets

PP



1	WD	w	w			W	В		W	w	w	w			w	w	w	Signi- ficant
adient +			D	D														lency
- Fear gra			L	L														Tend
	BL	В	В			В	w		В	В	В	В			В	В	В	Signi- ficant
	Movement	Approach	Peck	Approach	Touch	Capture	Duration	Inductions	Lat strug	# strug	Lat voc	# voc	Cort2	Cort3	Movement	Approach	Peck	
		VAT1			НА			TI		MR						VAT2		

(Manet et al., 2023)

al while the test of the test of the test of the all the test of test of the test of test

## **PPILOW PPILOW experiment: light during incubation and enrichment with insect larvae during early life**



#### 2x2 factorial design, 44 pens (400 birds) in total:





Will was a few of the state of

## **PPILOW** Fear of humans (6 wks)



- Light-incubated birds <u>approached 1.29 times</u> <u>faster than dark (95% CI 0.09-0.99, p<0.05)</u>
- However: No effects found in other fear tests

PPI

## **PPILOW** Feather pecking (5 wks)





- No effect of light-incubation and larvae on number of gentle FP
- Hardly any severe FP observed
- Gentle FP 1.34 times more often in round 1 compared to round 2 (95% CI 0.27-0.44, P<0.0001)

## **PPILOW** Highlights per task - Feather scoring (15 wks)



- Overall little feather damage (max score = 54, our birds had max 6)
- No effects of light or larvae on feather damage
- Slightly more feather damage in round 1

## **PPILOW** Foraging behaviour (1, 3 and 7 wks)



 Larvae-enriched birds foraged 1.19 times more often than birds that did not receive larvae (no effect on total foraging time) (95% Cl 1.02-1.29, p=0.008)

16-20 Wath N. (14-6-1) Walness and the Later And States

Effects of light during incubation seem stronger in broilers than in laying hens

Positive effects on brain lateralisation and on fear of humans, but no effect on other fear tests

Comparison white and brown strains shows how different these are in fearfulness: useful for practice

Environmental enrichment with insect larvae stimulates foraging in pullets – could help to reduce risk feather pecking



### **PPILOW Adult phase: on-farm observations**



Collaboration with Dutch farms that work with small mobile houses for laying hens

During avian influenza: birds restricted to covered veranda



Will the algorithm the state of the state of

Four farms (two different types of mobile house):

- Two farms with layer hybrid
- Two farms with dual-purpose hybrid
- Variation in quality of environmental enrichment in the covered veranda (only haybale or more variation)







**PPILOW Adult phase: feather pecking and damage** 

Four farms (two different types of mobile house):

• Most feather pecking and feather loss in one of the layer hybrid flocks (H1), which also had the poorer environment



Confirmed in scientific studies (Giersberg et al, 2020):





A >

Four farms (two different types of mobile house):

• Dual-purpose flocks showed much more normal foraging behaviour (both during morning, afternoon and evening)





Salad a the and the second the share a state of the the the the second the second the

Four farms (two different types of mobile house):

- Dual-purpose flocks less fearful of a novel object
- Number of birds approaching



■ H1 ■ DP1 ■ DP2

WIND ALE ADD THE ADD IN ADD IN AND A LE ANNULAD ALE ADD THE AD

Conclusions on-farm observations:

- During avian influenza outbreak, covered veranda is important for birds to show foraging (helps to prevent FP)
- Good quality environmental enrichment important: alfalfa bales, fresh greens, hay, straw, pecking blocks
- Dual-purpose birds seem less at risk to develop FP and show more normal foraging behaviour, less fearful – opportunity for small-scale producers?

when the share of the state of







## Thank you for your attention

#### www.ppilow.eu



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 816172

